

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/501,344 | SWINNEN ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | JOHN MABRY | 1625 | |

All Participants:

(1) JOHN MABRY.

(2) Daniel Pereira.

Date of Interview: 23 April 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

14

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner contacted Mr. Pereira regarding claims 14. R1 of claim 14 was not part of elected group and was not searched. Mr. Pereira authorized Examiner to delete claim 14 via Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 1:30 pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)